Allyssha "Summative Assessment -IDU - Design - G7 " MYP Criteria

A B C D 4 5 5 2

Criterion A: Inquiring and Analysing

It's great to see that you included your questionnaire and drew a conclusion from the results—well done. However, it's a pity that your Venn diagram wasn't also included, as it would have strengthened your analysis. In future, aim to clearly identify the specific areas you researched, such as equipment, rules, and skills. This helps show how your findings support your design decisions. Suggestion: Add a list or paragraph explaining the key focus areas of your research and how they relate to your game design.

Criterion B: Developing Ideas

It's good that you considered more than one design idea. Naming each idea was a strong choice, as it helps the reader follow your thinking. To enhance this further, include sketches for each concept with clear annotations—visuals are very helpful for understanding gameplay. When introducing your final chosen design, try to justify your decision. For example, use a SWOT analysis or compare your design to your original specification to show how it best met the brief. Suggestion: Include a short explanation of why you chose your final idea, with references to your research or design specification.

Criterion C: Creating the Solution

Your portfolio gives a clear explanation of the game and how it is played—this is well done. You've also included some reference to how team members contributed, which is important for showing the collaborative aspect of the project. To further develop this section, consider describing the steps your team followed to build and test the game, and reflect briefly on how well your group worked together.

Criterion D: Evaluating

This section is currently missing, and it is a vital part of the design cycle. A complete evaluation should include reflection on both the final game and the design process itself. What worked well? What would you improve? How well did your game meet the needs of your audience or your initial goals? These reflections help show your ability to think critically and learn from the experience.

Suggestion: Write a short evaluation, referring back to your design specification and identifying at least one improvement you would make.

Overall Comments:

Your portfolio shows promise, with some thoughtful commentary on your process. However, some key areas—particularly evaluation—were missing or underdeveloped. Structuring your work more clearly and providing stronger justifications for your choices will help strengthen future projects.

Improvements for your next portfolio:

Add a contents page and include page numbers.

Introduce your project clearly with a short overview.

Structure your portfolio using the four key headings: Inquiring and Analysing, Developing Ideas, Creating the Solution, and Evaluating.

Include more visuals (sketches, diagrams) with annotations.

Ensure you complete the evaluation section with specific reflections.

Criteria A: Inquiring and analysing

| | 0 | 1-2 | 3-4 | 5-6 | 7-8 |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| ii. construct a research plan, which states and prioritizes the primary and secondary research needed to develop a solution to the problem | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | | The student states the research needed to develop a solution to the problem, with some guidance | The student constructs a research plan, which states and prioritizes the primary and secondary research needed to develop a solution to the problem, with some guidance | The student constructs a research plan, which states and prioritizes the primary and secondary research needed to develop a solution to the problem independently |
| iii. analyse a group of similar products that inspire a solution to the problem | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | | The student outlines one existing product that inspires a solution to the problem | The student describes a group of similar products that inspire a solution to the problem | The student analyses a group of similar products that inspire a solution to the problem |
| iv. develop a design brief, which presents the analysis of relevant research | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | The student states some of the main findings of relevant research | The student develops a basic design brief, which outlines some of the findings of relevant research | The student develops a design brief, which outlines the findings of relevant research | The student develops a design brief, which presents the analysis of relevant research |

Criteria B: Developing ideas

| | 0 | 1-2 | 3-4 | 5-6 | 7-8 |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| ii. present a range of feasible design ideas, which can be correctly interpreted by others | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | The student presents one design idea, which can be interpreted by others | The student presents a few feasible design ideas, using an appropriate medium(s) or explains key features, which can be interpreted by others | The student presents a range of feasible design ideas, using an appropriate medium(s) and explains key features, which can be interpreted by others | The student presents a range of feasible design ideas, using an appropriate medium(s) and annotation , which can be correctly interpreted by others |
| iii. present the chosen design and outline the reasons for its selection | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | | The student outlines the main reasons for choosing the design with reference to the design specification | The student presents the chosen design and outlines the main reasons for its selection with reference to the design specification | The student presents the chosen design and outlines the reasons for its selection with reference to the design specification |
| iv. develop accurate planning drawings/diagrams and outline requirements for the creation of the chosen solution. | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | The Student creates incomplete planning drawings/diagrams. | The student creates planning drawings/diagrams or lists requirements for the chosen solution | The student develops accurate planning drawings/diagrams and lists requirements for the creation of the chosen solution | The student develops accurate planning drawings/diagrams and outlines requirements for the creation of the chosen solution |

Criteria C: Creating the solution

| | 0 | 1-2 | 3-4 | 5-6 | 7-8 |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| i. construct a logical plan, which outlines the efficient use of time and resources, sufficient for peers to be able to follow to create the solution | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | | The student outlines each step in a plan that contains some details, resulting in peers having difficulty following the plan to create the solution | The student constructs a plan, which considers time and resources, sufficient for peers to be able to follow to create the solution | The student constructs a logical plan, which outlines the efficient use of time and resources, sufficient for peers to be able to follow to create the solution |
| ii. demonstrate excellent technical skills when making the solution | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | The Student demonstrates minimal technical skills when making the solution | The student demonstrates satisfactory technical skills when making the solution | The student demonstrates competent technical skills when making the solution | The student demonstrates excellent technical skills when making the solution |
| iii. follow the plan to create the solution, which functions as intended | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | The student creates the solution, which functions poorly and is presented in an incomplete form | The student creates the solution, which partially functions and is adequately presented | The student creates the solution, which functions as intended and is presented appropriately | The student follows the plan to create the solution, which functions as intended and is presented appropriately |
| iv. explain changes made to the chosen design and plan when making the solution | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | | The student outlines changes made to the chosen design or plan | The student outlines changes made to the chosen design and plan | The student explains changes made to the chosen design and plan |

| 0 | 1-2 | 3-4 | 5-6 | 7-8 |
|---|-----|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | when making the solution | when making the solution | when making the solution |

Criteria D: Evaluating

| | 0 | 1-2 | 3-4 | 5-6 | 7-8 |
|--|---|--|---|---|---|
| i. describe detailed and relevant testing methods, which generate accurate data, to measure the success of the solution | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | The student describes a testing method , which is used to measure the success of the solution | The student describes a relevant testing method, which generates data, to measure the success of the solution | The student describes relevant testing methods , which generate data, to measure the success of the solution | The student describes detailed and relevant testing methods , which generate accurate data, to measure the success of the solution |
| ii. explain the success of the solution against the design specification | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | The student states the success of the solution | The student outlines the success of the solution against the design specification based on relevant product testing | The student describes the success of the solution against the design specification based on relevant product testing | The student explains the success of the solution against the design specification based on authentic product testing |
| iii. describe how the solution could be improved | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | | The student lists the ways in which the solution could be improved | The student outlines how the solution could be improved | The student describes how the solution could be improved |
| iv. describe the impact of the solution on the client/target audience. | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | | The student outlines the impact of the solution on the client/target audience | The student describes the impact of the solution on the client/target audience, with guidance | The student describes the impact of the solution on the client/target audience |