Alynah

"Summative Assessment -IDU - Design - G7"

MYP Criteria

A B C D
6 7 5 6

Criterion A: Inquiring and Analysing

You presented a very clear and focused brief—excellent work. It was also good to see both your questionnaire and your Venn diagram included, along with some conclusions drawn from your research. To take this even further, you could add a little more detail about how this information directly informed and shaped your design ideas. This helps show a clear link between your research and the solutions you developed.

Criterion B: Developing Ideas

You generated and presented three strong initial ideas—well done. I really liked the way you developed these into a final chosen design. You also showed good thinking by considering the equipment required. This is an excellent example of applying the design cycle effectively.

Criterion C: Creating the Solution

You provided a good commentary on the process your team followed. It was clear how your team worked together, which is great to see. To further strengthen this section, you could expand on how the team came to agree on Rebecca's idea as the final design. A short reflection on this decision-making process would show excellent teamwork and communication.

Criterion D: Evaluating

Well done for designing a feedback form and gathering user feedback—this shows a thoughtful approach to evaluation. To enhance this section even more, it would be helpful to suggest some specific improvements to the game. For example, you identified the hoops/rings as a weaker element—what changes would you recommend to address this?

Overall Comments:

This is a very strong and well-presented portfolio. Your introduction clearly shows your understanding of the design cycle, and your project is well-structured with a clear title page and index with page numbers. You have demonstrated an excellent ability to follow the design process and communicate your thinking.

Improvements for your next portfolio:

Include specific suggestions for improving the game design based on user feedback.

Add a little more detail on how your research influenced your ideas.

Expand on team decision-making during the creation process.

Criteria A: Inquiring and analysing

| | 0 | 1-2 | 3-4 | 5-6 | 7-8 |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| ii. construct a research plan, which states and prioritizes the primary and secondary research needed to develop a solution to the problem | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | | The student states the research needed to develop a solution to the problem, with some guidance | The student constructs a research plan, which states and prioritizes the primary and secondary research needed to develop a solution to the problem, with some guidance | The student constructs a research plan, which states and prioritizes the primary and secondary research needed to develop a solution to the problem independently |
| iii. analyse a group of similar products that inspire a solution to the problem | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | | The student outlines one existing product that inspires a solution to the problem | The student describes a group of similar products that inspire a solution to the problem | The student analyses a group of similar products that inspire a solution to the problem |
| iv. develop a design brief, which presents the analysis of relevant research | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | The student states some of the main findings of relevant research | The student develops a basic design brief, which outlines some of the findings of relevant research | The student develops a design brief, which outlines the findings of relevant research | The student develops a design brief, which presents the analysis of relevant research |

Criteria B: Developing ideas

| | 0 | 1-2 | 3-4 | 5-6 | 7-8 |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| ii. present a range of feasible design ideas, which can be correctly interpreted by others | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | The student presents one design idea, which can be interpreted by others | The student presents a few feasible design ideas, using an appropriate medium(s) or explains key features, which can be interpreted by others | The student presents a range of feasible design ideas, using an appropriate medium(s) and explains key features, which can be interpreted by others | The student presents a range of feasible design ideas, using an appropriate medium(s) and annotation, which can be correctly interpreted by others |
| iii. present the chosen design and outline the reasons for its selection | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | | The student outlines the main reasons for choosing the design with reference to the design specification | The student presents the chosen design and outlines the main reasons for its selection with reference to the design specification | The student presents the chosen design and outlines the reasons for its selection with reference to the design specification |
| iv. develop accurate planning drawings/diagrams and outline requirements for the creation of the chosen solution. | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | The Student creates incomplete planning drawings/diagrams. | The student creates planning drawings/diagrams or lists requirements for the chosen solution | The student develops accurate planning drawings/diagrams and lists requirements for the creation of the chosen solution | The student develops accurate planning drawings/diagrams and outlines requirements for the creation of the chosen solution |

Criteria C: Creating the solution

| | 0 | 1-2 | 3-4 | 5-6 | 7-8 |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| i. construct a logical plan, which outlines the efficient use of time and resources, sufficient for peers to be able to follow to create the solution | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | | The student outlines each step in a plan that contains some details, resulting in peers having difficulty following the plan to create the solution | The student constructs a plan, which considers time and resources, sufficient for peers to be able to follow to create the solution | The student constructs a logical plan, which outlines the efficient use of time and resources, sufficient for peers to be able to follow to create the solution |
| ii. demonstrate excellent technical skills when making the solution | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | The Student demonstrates minimal technical skills when making the solution | The student demonstrates satisfactory technical skills when making the solution | The student demonstrates competent technical skills when making the solution | The student demonstrates excellent technical skills when making the solution |
| iii. follow the plan to create the solution, which functions as intended | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | The student creates the solution, which functions poorly and is presented in an incomplete form | The student creates the solution, which partially functions and is adequately presented | The student creates the solution, which functions as intended and is presented appropriately | The student follows the plan to create the solution, which functions as intended and is presented appropriately |
| iv. explain changes made to the chosen design and plan when making the solution | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | | The student outlines changes made to the chosen design or plan | The student outlines changes made to the chosen design and plan | The student explains changes made to the chosen design and plan |

| 0 | 1-2 | 3-4 | 5-6 | 7-8 |
|---|-----|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | when making the solution | when making the solution | when making the solution |

Criteria D: Evaluating

| | 0 | 1-2 | 3-4 | 5-6 | 7-8 |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| i. describe detailed and relevant testing methods, which generate accurate data, to measure the success of the solution | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | The student describes a testing method , which is used to measure the success of the solution | The student describes a relevant testing method, which generates data, to measure the success of the solution | The student describes relevant testing methods, which generate data, to measure the success of the solution | The student describes detailed and relevant testing methods, which generate accurate data, to measure the success of the solution |
| ii. explain the success of the solution against the design specification | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | The student states the success of the solution | The student outlines the success of the solution against the design specification based on relevant product testing | The student describes the success of the solution against the design specification based on relevant product testing | The student explains the success of the solution against the design specification based on authentic product testing |
| iii. describe how the solution could be improved | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | | The student lists the ways in which the solution could be improved | The student outlines how the solution could be improved | The student describes how the solution could be improved |
| iv. describe the impact of the solution on the client/target audience. | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | | The student outlines the impact of the solution on the client/target audience | The student describes the impact of the solution on the client/target audience, with guidance | The student describes the impact of the solution on the client/target audience |