

Soren

"Summative Assessment -Table - Design - G6

" MYP Criteria

A	B	C	D
7	7	7	6

Criterion A: Inquiring and Analysing

Well done for clearly presenting your research using a SWOT analysis table. This helped structure your findings effectively.

Your design brief identifies the purpose of the project, but it could be written more clearly and logically. When creating a brief, always consider whether a new reader would fully understand what the design is for and what the client needs.

Criterion B: Developing Ideas

You produced a strong SMART design specification that outlines realistic and measurable goals.

Your design ideas are clear and well communicated. Adding more annotations would further support the reader's understanding of how your ideas meet the design requirements.

Your chosen design is annotated well. Including a side view or additional angles would enhance the clarity of your final design.

Criterion C: Creating the Solution

Well done for identifying a weakness in your original design and making improvements. This shows a good understanding of the design process and a willingness to reflect and refine your work. Your TinkerCAD model shows that your ideas were implemented effectively.

Criterion D: Evaluating

You've done well to explain the reasoning behind the shape of your table – this shows thoughtful consideration of form and function. Try to include this level of detail earlier in the process as part of your design development and annotations.

Remember to evaluate your final product against all points in your design specification. This ensures that your reflection is complete and clearly connected to your original goals.

Overall Comment

You have produced a strong project that demonstrates a clear understanding of the design cycle. Your research, digital modelling, and reflection are well developed. To improve, focus on refining your written communication, especially in your design brief and evaluations, and aim to include more visual and annotated detail in your design ideas.

Targets for Improvement

Make your design brief clearer and more logically structured for the reader.

Add more detailed annotations to support your design ideas.

Include multiple views of your design to improve clarity.

Refer to each point in your design specification when evaluating.

Integrate design reasoning earlier in the process, especially in sketches and idea development.

Criteria A: Inquiring and analysing

	0	1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8
i. explain and justify the need for a solution to a problem	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors	The student states the need for a solution to a problem	The student outlines the need for a solution to a problem	The student explains the need for a solution to a problem	The student explains and justifies the need for a solution to a problem
ii. state and prioritize the main points of research needed to develop a solution to the problem	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors		The student states some points of research needed to develop a solution, with some guidance	The student states and prioritizes the main points of research needed to develop a solution to the problem, with some guidance	The student states and prioritizes the main points of research needed to develop a solution to the problem, with minimal guidance
iii. describe the main features of an existing product that inspires a solution to the problem	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors		The student states the main features of an existing product that inspires a solution to the problem	The student outlines the main features of an existing product that inspires a solution to the problem	The student describes the main features of an existing product that inspires a solution to the problem
iv. present the main findings of relevant research.	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors	The student states the findings of research	The student outlines some of the main findings of research	The student outlines the main findings of relevant research	The student presents the main findings of relevant research

Criteria B: Developing ideas

	0	1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8
i. develop a list of success criteria for the solution	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors	The student states one basic success criterion for a solution	The student states a few success criteria for the solution	The student develops a few success criteria for the solution	The student develops a list of success criteria for the solution
ii. present feasible design ideas, which can be correctly interpreted by others	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors	The student presents one design idea, which can be interpreted by others	The student presents more than one design idea, using an appropriate medium(s) or labels key features, which can be interpreted by others	The student presents a few feasible design ideas, using an appropriate medium(s) and labels key features, which can be interpreted by others	The student presents feasible design ideas, using an appropriate medium(s) and outlines the key features, which can be correctly interpreted by others
iii. present the chosen design	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors		The student states the key features of the chosen design	The student presents the chosen design stating the key features	The student presents the chosen design describing the key features
iv. create a planning drawing/diagram, which outlines the main details for making the chosen solution.	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors	The Student creates an incomplete planning drawing/diagram.	The student creates a planning drawing/diagram or lists requirements for the creation of the chosen solution	The student creates a planning drawing/diagram and lists the main details for the creation of the chosen solution	The student creates a planning drawing/diagram, which outlines the main details for making the chosen solution

Criteria C: Creating the solution

	0	1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8
ii. demonstrate excellent technical skills when making the solution	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors	The Student demonstrates minimal technical skills when making the solution	The student demonstrates satisfactory technical skills when making the solution	The student demonstrates competent technical skills when making the solution	The student demonstrates excellent technical skills when making the solution
iii. follow the plan to create the solution, which functions as intended	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors	The student creates the solution, which functions poorly and is presented in an incomplete form	The student creates the solution, which partially functions and is adequately presented	The student creates the solution, which functions as intended and is presented appropriately	The student follows the plan to create the solution, which functions as intended and is presented appropriately
iv. list the changes made to the chosen design and plan when making the solution.	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors		The student states one change made to the chosen design or plan when making the solution	The student states one change made to the chosen design and plan when making the solution	The student lists the changes made to the chosen design and plan when making the solution

Criteria D: Evaluating

	0	1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8
i. outline simple, relevant testing methods, which generate data, to measure the success of the solution	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors	The student defines a testing method, which is used to measure the success of the solution	The student defines a relevant testing method , which generates data, to measure the success of the solution	The student defines relevant testing methods , which generate data, to measure the success of the solution	The student outlines simple, relevant testing methods, which generate data, to measure the success of the solution
ii. outline the success of the solution against the design specification	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors	The student states the success of the solution	The student states the success of the solution against the design specification based on the results of one relevant test	The student states the success of the solution against the design specification based on relevant product testing	The student outlines the success of the solution against the design specification based on authentic product testing
iii. outline how the solution could be improved	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors		The student states one way in which the solution could be improved	The student outlines one way in which the solution could be improved	The student outlines how the solution could be improved
iv. outline the impact of the solution on the client/target audience.	The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors		The student states one way in which the solution can impact the client/target audience	The student outlines the impact of the solution on the client/target audience, with guidance	The student outlines the impact of the solution on the client/target audience