Hasti

Summative Assessment -Refugee Toy- Design - G8 MYP Criteria

A B C D 3 3 3 3

Criterion A: Inquiring and Analysing

You've started to show some understanding of the purpose of your design, which is a good step. Remember that your design brief needs to clearly explain the problem you are trying to solve, and it should link to your research. Include who your design is for (e.g. a refugee child) and what you are designing (e.g. a toy to bring comfort or joy). A sentence like "This project will design a toy for a refugee child" is a good starting point. Also, try to avoid using "I" in your formal design writing.

Criterion B: Developing Ideas

When writing a design specification, try to make each point SMART — this means Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, and Testable. This helps make your design goals clear and easier to check.

Your sketches are a good start and mostly understandable. However, think carefully about whether each idea would be suitable for bringing comfort or joy to a refugee child. Try to focus on ideas that are safe, comforting, and simple.

Criterion C: Creating the Solution

Your TinkerCAD skills are still developing, which is completely okay at this stage. With more practice, you will build more confidence and control using the software to bring your ideas to life.

Criterion D: Evaluating

It's good that you wrote "Toys can improve the life of refugees by relieving emotional distress during crises" — this shows awareness of your design's purpose.

When using Al tools to help you, always cite your sources. Also, focus on writing from your own experience. Instead of just answering questions, think about what you did, how it went, and what you would do differently next time. This will help your evaluations become stronger and more personal.

Overall Comments

You have made a good start and are learning how to use the design cycle. There are some clear signs of reflection and care in your work. As you continue to practise, your confidence and understanding will grow — keep at it!

Improvements for your next portfolio:

Make sure the design brief includes the purpose, user (refugee child), and product (toy).

Avoid "I" statements in formal writing.

Write SMART design specification points (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Testable).

Check that your ideas are appropriate and relevant to the user's needs.

Practice using TinkerCAD regularly to improve your digital design skills.

Write your evaluations from your own experience and reflect on what you learned.

Criteria A: Inquiring and analysing

| | 0 | 1-2 | 3-4 | 5-6 | 7-8 |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| i. explain and justify the need for a solution to a problem | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | The student states the need for a solution to a problem | The student outlines the need for a solution to a problem | The student explains the need for a solution to a problem | The student explains and justifies the need for a solution to a problem |
| iv. develop a design brief, which presents the analysis of relevant research | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | The student states some of the main findings of relevant research | The student develops a basic design brief, which outlines some of the findings of relevant research | The student develops a design brief, which outlines the findings of relevant research | The student develops a design brief, which presents the analysis of relevant research |

Criteria B: Developing ideas

| | 0 | 1-2 | 3-4 | 5-6 | 7-8 |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|
| i. develop a design specification, which outlines the success criteria for the design of a solution based on the data collected | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | The student lists a few basic success criteria for the design of a solution | The student constructs a list of the success criteria for the design of a solution | The student develops design specifications, which identify the success criteria for the design of a solution | The student develops a design specification which outlines the success criteria for the design of a solution based on the data collected |
| ii. present a range of feasible design ideas, which can be correctly interpreted by others | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | The student presents one design idea, which can be interpreted by others | The student presents a few feasible design ideas, using an appropriate medium(s) or explains key features, which can be interpreted by others | The student presents a range of feasible design ideas, using an appropriate medium(s) and explains key features, which can be interpreted by others | The student presents a range of feasible design ideas, using an appropriate medium(s) and annotation, which can be correctly interpreted by others |

Criteria C: Creating the solution

| | 0 | 1-2 | 3-4 | 5-6 | 7-8 |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| ii. demonstrate excellent technical skills when making the solution | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | The Student demonstrates minimal technical skills when making the solution | The student demonstrates satisfactory technical skills when making the solution | The student demonstrates competent technical skills when making the solution | The student demonstrates excellent technical skills when making the solution |
| iii. follow the plan to create the solution, which functions as intended | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | The student creates the solution, which functions poorly and is presented in an incomplete form | The student creates the solution, which partially functions and is adequately presented | The student creates the solution, which functions as intended and is presented appropriately | The student follows the plan to create the solution, which functions as intended and is presented appropriately |

Criteria D: Evaluating

| | 0 | 1-2 | 3-4 | 5-6 | 7-8 |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| ii. explain the success of the solution against the design specification | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | The student states the success of the solution | The student outlines the success of the solution against the design specification based on relevant product testing | The student describes the success of the solution against the design specification based on relevant product testing | The student explains the success of the solution against the design specification based on authentic product testing |
| iii. describe how the solution could be improved | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors | | The student lists the ways in which the solution could be improved | The student outlines how the solution could be improved | The student describes how the solution could be improved |